

BASIC PRINCIPLES OF REVIEWING ARTICLES TO BE PUBLISHED IN JOURNAL OF HERITAGE CONSERVATION

1. After verifying that the articles are in keeping with the journal's profile, the Editor-in-chief of Conservation News will appoint the who hold the rank of a professor, doktor habilitowany (Associate Professor) or Phd and are recognised experts in the field the review refers to (after arranging with theme editors – concerns specialist publications).
2. Each of the reviewers declares: independence of opinion, no conflict of interests with the Author(s) of the publication (in the form of: direct interpersonal relations, professional subordination or direct scientific cooperation within the last two years preceding the review).
3. In the case of a paper written in a foreign language, at least one of the reviewers is affiliated to a foreign institution other than the nationality of the paper's author.
4. The review must be presented in writing and end with an unambiguous conclusion as to whether the article should be accepted to be published or rejected (review form is enclosed).
5. Names of the reviewers of particular articles/issues will not be disclosed.
6. The principles of reviewing and the review form are available for the public on the website www.wiadomoscikonserwatorskie.pl
7. Once a year the Editors of Journal of Heritage Conservation will publish the official list of cooperating reviewers.
8. The final decision whether a scientific article is to be printed will be taken by the Editors after analysing the comments included in the reviews.
9. In case of a review rejecting the article, the Editor-in-chief decides whether the paper is to be rejected or sent to another Reviewer.
10. Non-scientific papers do not require reviewing and are qualified to be printed directly by the Editor-in-chief.